

2024-25 FALL

FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY

WEEK 6

EYEWITNESS EVIDENCE

> November 2015 Paris attacks

- The November 2015 Paris attacks were a series of coordinated terrorist attacks that took place on 13 November 2015 in Paris, France and the city's northern suburb, Saint-Denis.
- Beginning at 21:16 CET, three suicide bombers struck outside the Stade de France in Saint-Denis, during a football match.
- This was followed by several mass shootings and a suicide bombing, at cafés and restaurants.
- Gunmen carried out another mass shooting and took hostages at an Eagles of Death Metal concert in the Bataclan theatre, leading to a stand-off with police.
- The attackers were shot or blew themselves up when police raided the theatre.

- The attackers killed 130 people, including 90 at the Bataclan theatre.
- Another 413 people were injured, almost 100 seriously.
- Seven of the attackers also died while the authorities continued to search for accomplices.
- The attacks were the deadliest in France since the Second World War, and the deadliest in the European Union since the Madrid train bombings in 2004.
- France had been on high alert since the January 2015 attacks on Charlie Hebdo offices and a Jewish supermarket in Paris that killed 17 people and wounded 22, including civilians and police officers.

- The scenes they are describing are reminiscent of a **battlefield**:
- "It was carnage... There seemed to be at least two gunmen. They shot from the balcony. I saw my final hour unfurl before me. I thought this was the end. I thought I'm finished, I'm finished. I was terrified." (Marc Coupris, as quoted in Chrisafis, 14 November 2015).

- Much of the information about the attackers is consistent across media reports. However, there are also inconsistencies. For example,
- Among the victims who were inside the Bataclan concert hall, some have reported that the **attackers said nothing** while they fired their weapons, whereas others have reported that they heard the **attackers shout**, "It's for Syria".
- Some victims have reported that one of the attackers was a female, while others have reported seeing only male attackers.
- Still other victims have reported they were transfixed by the pain and suffering of those around them, and could not describe what the attackers said or what they looked like.

- The accounts of the Paris attacks may vary because witnesses attended to different aspects of the scene, or because differential exposure to stress and weapons affected memory storage across witnesses.
- Different factors affect memory retrieval:
- delay between the event and witnesses giving information to the police,
- the accuracy and completeness of the testimony provided depends on the type of questions that are asked,
- memories might be affected by exposure to post-event information, such as media reports.

Witness testimony

- Witness testimony plays a vital role in criminal investigation and in the courtroom, providing a first-hand account of a criminal event to allow a judge and jury to decide the guilt of a defendant.
- Psychologists have long been interested in factors that contribute to the accuracy of witness memory.
- The inaccuracy of witness identification and testimony is often cited as a leading cause of wrongful convictions.

THE MEMORY PROCESS

THE MEMORY PROCESS

- When explaining **memory**, researchers often refer to **three stages**:
- > encoding,
- ➢ storage and
- ➤ retrieval.
- At each stage, factors can operate to undermine the quality and accuracy of memory.

encoding

- involves the creation of a memory by an observer,
- the quality of encoding can be compromised by the extent to which the observer is paying attention.

storage (retention)

- After the memory has been encoded the observer will store the memory for later retrieval.
- Despite encoding it clearly, the accuracy of one witness' stored memory might be influenced by hearing other people describe the attack incorrectly.
- false memory: a complex mixture of correct and incorrect information

retrieval

- the process by which memory is accessed,
- in the legal contexts, involves the witness explaining what happened during the event to the police.
- Witnesses may encounter difficulty accessing their memories.
- being interviewed in a police station, in an unfamiliar place, feeling under pressure to recount the event as accurately as possible, the police ask specific questions about details you are struggling to remember.

ESTIMATOR vs. SYSTEM VARIABLES

ESTIMATOR vs. SYSTEM VARIABLES

- Eyewitness testimony can be a crucial and an influential source of evidence in criminal cases.
- Psychologist Gary Wells (1978) made an important distinction between two types of factor affecting eyewitness accuracy:
- estimator variables
- > system variables

ESTIMATOR vs. SYSTEM VARIABLES

- Estimator variables cannot be controlled by the legal justice system, so their impact has to be estimated by legal professionals.
- Estimator variables are primarily related to the encoding and storage stages of memory, particularly the characteristics of the crime event.
- Examples include factors such as:
- how stressed the witness was,
- > how far away the witness was at the time of the event,
- what the lighting was like, and
- whether the witness' memory was later contaminated by conflicting accounts.
- Once the witness comes into contact with the criminal justice system (i.e. is interviewed by the police, writes a witness statement or is questioned in court), estimator variables will have already exerted their influence on the quality and accuracy of the memory.

ESTIMATOR vs. SYSTEM VARIABLES

- System variables are most closely related to the retrieval stage of memory, and can be controlled by the criminal justice system.
- An example is the kind of questions (open or closed) posed to witnesses.
- Legal professionals have to manage their interactions with witnesses very carefully to minimize further damage to their memories.

ENCODING FACTORS

Stress

- During a crime, it is likely that witnesses will experience relatively high levels of stress, which can affect the clarity and accuracy of the memory they encode.
- Stress can have a negative effect on memory recall accuracy. (an inverted U-shaped curve)
- Memory performance is optimal under moderate stress levels, but declines at the two extremes of physiological arousal.

weapon-focus effect

- weapon focus is an important factor in eyewitness memory accuracy
- people narrow their attention to the weapon because of the threat associated with it
- weapons capture attention because it is so unusual to encounter one in everyday life
- as exposure length increases, witnesses shift their attention away from the weapon and towards the perpetrator



Witnesses who experience "weapon focus" attend to the weapon but fail to notice details of the offender's appearance.

Change Blindness

- While observing a crime, you might shift your attention around, looking in one direction then another, to try and make sense of what is happening.
- Research suggests that if a change occurs in the brief time you are not looking, you might not notice it when you revert your attention.
- This surprising phenomenon is caused by lapses in perception.
- Change blindness is particularly likely to occur when witnesses make assumptions about continuity.

Stereotyping

- Crime events can be highly complex and difficult to make sense of, resulting in a high cognitive load at encoding.
- Available information from the crime alone can be confusing, so as a way of creating a more coherent memory, people might unknowingly make use of schemas and scripts.
- Thanks to books, films and TV, we probably all have a representation of what might happen during a crime.
- This representation might be used to try and fill memory gaps.

Stereotyping

- The higher the cognitive load, the more likely people are to employ stereotypes to increase coherence.
- describing the suspect in a crime scenario as either a drug addict (negative condition) or a bank worker (positive condition)
- In the negative condition, participants who had encoded the information under a high cognitive load remembered more incriminating details than exonerating details.
- These results show **memory operating** in keeping with the **negative stereotype**.

Stereotyping

- Older adults appear to be susceptible to stereotype and schema-based processing of crime information, with less typical features of a crime being particularly likely to be forgotten by older witnesses.
- It is also necessary to consider the facilitative effects of stereotypes on memory performance.
- Encoded information that is consistent with a stereotype or schema might actually be preserved very well.
- In fact, there is evidence that when people try to repress stereotypical thinking they make memory errors.

Intoxication

- When a crime occurs, it is relatively common for the **witness or victim to be intoxicated**.
- Participants who were intoxicated during encoding recalled less, and were less accurate than their sober counterparts.
- According to the alcohol myopia framework, intoxication affects attention and encoding by narrowing focus to central details, at the expense of peripheral details.

Intoxication

- Some results even suggest that people's preconceptions about the effect of alcohol has on memory may be more detrimental than the alcohol itself.
- Participants who were intoxicated during the encoding of a sexual assault scenario provided significantly less information overall than their sober counterparts, the accuracy of the information they provided did not differ one day or four months later.

Age

- Of all age groups, young adults exhibit the most reliable memories.
- Older adults may be less accurate because of agerelated declines in encoding quality, which, for example, make it more difficult to bind new information.
- Children are also frequently found to make less reliable witnesses, although the completeness and accuracy of children's memories do improve in line with their age.
- Younger children lack the life experience that would enable them to employ useful scripts and schemas to help make sense of an event, and therefore to recall it accurately.

STORAGE FACTORS

Post-event Information

- Separating witnesses while others testify has long been relied upon by police investigators and in court as a means to preserve the independent character of witness testimony.
- These practices are enacted in the legal system to help deter social conformity and misremembering by eyewitnesses.
- Yet, people often witness crimes in the presence of others, and discuss what they saw with them.

Post-event Information

- Through subsequent discussion, witnesses might learn information about the event that they did not actually observe themselves.
- If the witness incorporates this information into his or her own testimony, this can be problematic for justice.
- Confabulation caused by witnesses talking to each other can have a detrimental impact on police investigations.
- In psychology, confabulation is a memory error defined as the production of fabricated, distorted, or misinterpreted memories about oneself or the world.

How do we come to conform our memory reports to another person's?

- The updateable memory hypothesis proposes that the misinformation effect occurs because stored memories are overwritten with the misinformation we encounter.
- According to this account, memory is the product of reconstruction, and we continually reinterpret events that we see.

How do we come to conform our memory reports to another person's?

- Person who accepts the misinformation embedded in the questionnaire might visualize the misleading detail as part of the scene.
- The witness will then **assimilate the fragments of misinformation into their memory** of the event.
- When they come to recall it, their memory will be based on all of the fragments, misinformation included.

When are witnesses most likely to report misinformation?

- Processing the misinformation requires retrieval of the original event information.
- if they think that the other person's memory of the event is better than theirs,
- when the cost of disagreeing is large,
- when they are acquainted with one another,
- when the source of the information is a credible expert.

False Memories

- Can adults recover memories of sexual abuse they experienced as children?
- Unpleasant memories can be suppressed.
- People can suffer global amnesia and then spontaneously recover memory, typically through therapy.
- Memories for events that did not actually happen can be implanted by even well-meaning therapists.
- Traumatic amnesia rarely occurs.

What is the underlying psychological mechanism that accounts for the development of false memories?

- False memories may arise from source monitoring errors:
- Other **similar memories are activated** when people imagine false events.
- Memory traces comprising elements of true and false events are formed.
- Subsequently, when people retrieve a false event from memory, they are not able to discern the original source of the information.

What is the underlying psychological mechanism that accounts for the development of false memories?

- A false memory of an event depends on the **plausibility of the false event**.
- There are individual differences in susceptibility to false memory development, such as individual capacity of working memory.
- There is evidence of repressed memory for abuse suffered in childhood.

Delay

- The delay, or length of time, between the crime and when the witness provides testimony, can vary from a few minutes to several years.
- All other things being equal, **information** is more likely to **be forgotten with longer delays**.
- Memory reports may be especially accurate when witnesses are interviewed immediately after the crime, because memory traces are the strongest, most accessible and most available.
- Memory initially decays rapidly, followed by a much slower decline.

Delay

- The extent to which memories are forgotten depends on whether a person has had their memory tested during the retention interval.
- Repeated interviews have complex effects on memory reports. Across repeated interviews, studies find evidence of hyperamnesia, reminiscence and increased inconsistencies.
- Additionally, information is more likely to be accurately reported across interviews when it is consistent with a crime schema (i.e. conceptual knowledge structures regarding a crime, including events, roles and scenes).

Emotional and Traumatic Memories

- Are some memories more resistant to decay over time?
- Flashbulb memories arise from a special memory mechanism that produces highly vivid, accurate and detailed memory traces.
- Flashbulb memories are **retained for a long time**, perhaps indefinitely, with **people accurately remembering the original event and its reception context** (i.e. where they were when the event occurred, who they were with, what they were doing, the aftermath of the event, personal and other people's reactions to the event).

Emotional and Traumatic Memories

- Emotional memories are often recalled with a high degree of vividness.
- There is evidence that **emotional memories** may be more **accurately remembered**.
- Concentration camp survivors' memories, particularly for information central to the traumatic experiences, were accurate and consistently reported decades later. One reason emotional memories may be better remembered is because they are often rehearsed.

Emotional and Traumatic Memories

- However, people's memories for significant emotional events may not always be especially accurate, even when the memory seems vivid.
- There is evidence that memories of highly symbolic events are influenced by reconstructive processes, and are altered over time, as the event becomes more personally important and emotionally impactful.

RETRIEVAL FACTORS

Question Format

- The format in which questions are asked can influence the accuracy of the response given.
- With an open-response format (e.g. "Describe the attacker"), the participant is asked to freely report information that they remember.
- A closed-response format limits the response of the witness ("What colour was the attacker's shirt?"), as do yes/no questions ("Was the attacker's shirt black?").
- The accuracy and completeness of the response varies dramatically, depending upon question format.

Question Format

- People tend to provide accurate answers more often to open-ended questions, and to questions that are less specific.
- People find questions **difficult to answer** involving:
- **double negatives** ("Did the man not tell you not to get on the ground?"),
- compound questions ("Did the men enter the premises at 9:30? Did you look for an exit?"),
- questions with complex syntax ("Before or after you ran away, did the men move towards the front or the back of the room?")

Suggestive Questioning

- Leading questions suggest to witnesses the answer that is required.
- In their groundbreaking study, Loftus and Palmer (1974) demonstrated that leading questions influence responses.
- Loftus and Palmer (1974) showed participants films of road accidents and found that when questioned later, the severity of the verb used ("smashed" vs. "bumped") influenced the estimates of speed of the vehicle involved.
- The severity implied in the question had influenced their memories of the original event.

Retrieval Enhancement

- Psychologists have also identified techniques for enhancing the recall of events. One technique is the cognitive interview, which is based on supplementary techniques or mnemonics for enhancing memory retrieval.
- One method is the use of a timeline technique to help witnesses establish in their testimony the temporal context of the event.
- The testimony of children and vulnerable adults is influenced by numerous factors.

Do Confident Witnesses Make Accurate Witnesses?

- Research has shown that the relationship between confidence and accuracy can be complex, depending on the circumstances.
- It is common for witnesses to be repeatedly questioned at various stages about what they saw, and confidence can increase across interviews, particularly for speculative information.
- Similarly, people often feel under great pressure to provide useful testimonies.

The Accuracy-Informativeness Trade-off

- When witnesses are questioned by the police, they face competing demands:
- On the one hand, they are in a role that requires them to report as much information as they possibly can about the crime that they witnessed.
- On the other hand, the testimony they provide should also be accurate.
- The attempt to resolve these competing demands is known as the accuracy-informativeness tradeoff.
- How do witnesses resolve this dilemma?

The Accuracy-Informativeness Trade-off

- One way in which they might do it is by regulating the granularity, or level of detail they provide in their responses.
- Participants responded to questions that required both fine-grained (e.g. a specific colour, or a specific number) and coarsegrained (e.g. the overall tone, or a numeric range) answers.

SUMMARY

- The accuracy of witness memory can be affected at all three different stages of memory, including encoding, storage and retrieval.
- Wells has distinguished between estimator and system variables, in an effort to identify how the legal system might work to improve the accuracy of witness testimony.
- Witness factors such as age and intoxication are associated with the accuracy of testimony.

SUMMARY

- Research has investigated a number of factors that affect memory encoding, including stress, change blindness, stereotyping and weapon exposure.
- A number of factors that affect memory storage have been investigated, including exposure to post-event information, the emotional nature of the memory, and delay.
- Retrieval factors associated with accuracy have also been studied by researchers, including question format, suggestive questioning, and the informativeness-accuracy trade-off.